

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,904	12/08/2005	Seung-Don Choi	LEE0044US	9625	
23413 CANTOR CO	7590 12/07/200 I BURN I I P	EXAMINER			
20 Church Stre		DAVIS, PATRICIA A			
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 0	06103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1795		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/07/2000	EL ECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/559,904	CHOI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PATRICIA DAVIS	1795		

	PATRICIA DAVIS	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidan real (with appeal fee) in compliance	f Appeal. To avoid abar vit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed wi</li></ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bek</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.7			DTOL 204)
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		Jinpliant Amendment (	- TOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7. M For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1.4-7 and 10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after of	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/	/PATRICIA DAVIS/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	Examiner, Art Unit 179	5	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation "metal hydroxide particles are present in an amount of greater than 0 wt% and less than 10 wt%, and the cathode active material particles and the metal hydroxide particles in the cathode are uniformly mixed with each other" raises new matter and would require further consideration and/or search.